BROWN & CONNERY LLP

STEVEN G. WOLSCHINA PAUL MAINARDI MICHAEL J. VASSALOTTIV WILLIAM M. TAMBUSSIV MARK P. ASSELTAS STEINEN J. DRFEOP JOSIOSI M. NARDI, III° CHRISTINE P. O'HIDIRNOV JOSEPH T. CARNEY KAREN A. MCGUINNESS* SUSAN M. LEMING. SHAWN C. HUBER* MARK CAIRA LOUIS K. LESSIG* JOSEPH M. GAREMORE ATTORNEYS AT LAW AND PROCTORS IN ADMIRALTY **360 HADDON AVENUE** P.O. BOX 539 **WESTMONT, NEW JERSEY 08108**

> TELEPHONE: (856) 854-8900 FACSIMILE: (856) 858-4967 www.brownconnery.com

OF COUNSEL:

NATHAN 4. FRIEDMANY KATHIE I., RENNERS MICHAEL R. MIGNOGNA* JOSEMI G. ANTINORI CARL J. CREGORIO-CHRISTOPHER J. TUCCIO

THOMAS F, COMMERY, St. (1915-2004) MORACH G EROWN (1915-1914) MOWAÎN Q, KULP, NY. (1814-1917)

WOODBURY, NJ 06096 (856) 812CAMDEN, NJ 08102 (856) 365-5100

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102 (215) 592-4152

November 16, 2010

٠,,

Jeff S. Jordan Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination & Legal Administration Office of the General Counsel Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20463

MUR 6400

Dear Mr. Jordan:

This affice represents the Camden County Democratic Committee ("CCDC") in connection with the above referenced complaint. (See Exhibit A, Statement of Designation of Coursel.) Please accept this letter as the CCDC's response to the frivolous complaint filed against it by Complainant, James K. Webber, Chairman of the New Jersey Republican State Committee.

Complainant alleges that the CCDC provided support to Peter Destefano ("Destefano"), a candidate of the NJ Tea Party for the office of Member of the U.S. House of Representatives for New Jersey's Third Congressional District in the November 2, 2010 general election, without registering with the FEC or reporting any in-kind contributions to Destefano. Further,

MICHAEL J. MILES. PAMELA A. MULLIGAN CHRISTOPHER A. ORLANDO* GINA M. ROSWELL* KENNETH J. SCHWEIKER, IR-BLAIR C. TALTY MICHAEL J. WATSON ALFO ADMITTED IX PERSETLYADIA . ALGA ADMITTED IN THE WORK

ALGA ADMITTED IN MARYLAND

CENTIFIED BY THE STREME
CHIEF WAS ALGALIANT

CIVIL THAILA. ATTOREME
CREATINGS BY THE STREME
CREATINGS BY THE STREME
CREATINGS BY THE STREME
CREATINGS BY THE STREME
CREATINGS BY THE STREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY AS WHEREAS'

MICHELLE H. BADOLATO^* COLLEEN P. BEZICH*

WILLIAM F. COOK*

MICHAEL J. DIPIERO* BRIAN P. FAULK

ABIGAIL M. GREEN*

JENNIFER A. HARRIS

PATRICK J. HOLSTON

JEFFREY R. JOHNSON®

DIANE S. KANE*

JANINE M. LLOYD* DONALD K. LUDMAN.

BETH L. MARLIN'

ERIC D. MILAVSKY*

¹ This letter is offered solely for the purpose of assisting the FEC in attempting to resolve this matter. The CCDC does not concent to the disclosure of this letter to the Camplainant or any other person, or to the use of this letter in any future adjudicatory proceeding.

BROWN & CONNERY

LLP

NOVEMBER 16, 2010 PAGE 2

Complainant alleges that the support given to Destefano was intended to siphon voters away from the Republican Party candidate, thereby benefitting John Adler ("Adler"), the Democratic Party candidate, but that the CCDC did not register with the FEC or report any in-kind contributions to Adler. Finally, Complainant alleges that the contributions to Destefano and Adler were in excess of applicable contribution limits. However, these allegations are utterly without merit, and must be dismissed.

As a preliminary matter, Complainant fails to provide any sworn first-hand evidence to support these allegations. The only support offered by Complainant for his allegation that two individuals paid by the CCDC solicited signatures for Destermo's petition for direct emmination to the election ballot is two newspaper articles. These articles are based outinely upon anonymens sources. Further, the articles do not even attempt to quantify the value of the services allegedly provided. The only assertions regarding the value of the services allegedly provided -- critical information for determining whether contribution limits and/or reporting thresholds were reached or exceeded -- are Complainant's own unsupported suppositions. Such unsupported hearsay must be discounted by the FEC, and, without more, should not be deemed a "reason to believe" that any violation has been committed. By Rself, this is reason enough to dismiss the Complaint and close this matter.

Even asseming, arguendo, that Complainant did provide sworm first-hand evidence of his allegations, the Complaint against tim CCDC would nonetheless still warrant dismissal as a matter of law for two reasons. First, the alleged support the CCDC rendered Destefano does not qualify as a "contribution" under 2 U.S.C. § 431(8). That section provides, in relevant part, that the term "contribution" includes "the payment by any person of compensation for the personal services of another person which are rendered to a political committee without charge for any purpose." Id. The alleged support given by CCDC employees to Destefano reportedly took place in May, 2010, which was more than one month before Destefano created a principal campaign committee. In other words, there was no "political committee" in existence at the titme that the alleged services were provided, and as small not have been "rendered to" the non-existent committee.

Second, the value of the alleged support the CCDC rendered to Destefano could not possibly have risen to the level of either the applicable \$5,000 reporting threshold for political committees under 2 U.S.C. § 434(e)(2)(A), or the applicable \$5,000 contribution limit for political committees under 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(2)(A). Schedule C of the CCDC's Receipts and Expenditures Quarterly Report to the New Jersey Election Law Enforcement Commission, dated July 15, 2010, which was included as an exhibit to Complainant's Complaint, provides that William Moen and Matthew White, the CCDC employee's alleged to have assisted Destefano, were paid only \$4,344.80 in aggregate between May 23, 2010 (the date of the first payment made to them following the alleged May 26, 2010 meeting referenced in the exceptant articles) and June 11, 2010 (a date after the time Complainant asserts Destafano became a candidate and presumably no longer needed signatures for a direct namination patition). An such, even if all of

BROWN & CONNERY

NOVEMBER 16, 2010 PAGE 3

Moen and White's efforts during that period of time were focused on assisting Destefano and not on other CCDC business, the CCDC could not have possibly provided a level of support to Destefano that would have reached the applicable reporting threshold or contribution limit.

For the reasons set forth above, the CCDC respectfully submits that there is no "reason to believe" that any violation has been committed, and that this Complaint must be dismissed.

Very truly yours,

BROWNS CONNERY, LLP

William M. Tambussi

Enclosure